

REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ying (U.S. 6,353,443). Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Drawings

It is noted that the Examiner has accepted the drawings as originally filed with this application.

Claims

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 1-7. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Since only claims 8-13 remain in this application, no detailed discussion of the cited prior art references is believed to be necessary .

Summary

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 11, 2005

By:



Bruce H. Troxell
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
Telephone: 703 575-2711
Telefax: 703 575-2707